

Generalized Zygmund Type Inequalities for Polynomials

Barchand Chanam

Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Manipur, Imphal, India

ABSTRACT

If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < k, k > 0$, then for $0 < r \leq R \leq k$, integers $s, 1 \leq s \leq n$ and $q > 0$, we prove

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p^s(Re^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n(n-1)\dots(n-s+1) D_q \left[\left(\frac{R+k}{r+k} \right)^n \{M(p, r) - m\} \right],$$

Where, $D_q = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |k^s + R^s e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}$. Our result gives some interesting well-known results as corollaries.

Keyword: - Polynomial, L^q Inequalities, Maximum Modulus.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

Let $p(z) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_v z^v$ be a polynomial of degree n and $p'(z)$ be its derivative, then for $q > 0$,

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |p'(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.1)$$

If we let $q \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.1) and make use of the well-known fact from analysis [16, 17] that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} = \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|, \quad (1.2)$$

we obtain the following inequalities

$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \leq n \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|, \quad (1.3)$$

Inequality (1.3) is a classical result due to Bernstein [4].

If we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials having no zero in $|z| < 1$, then inequality (1.1) can be improved. In fact, the following results are known.

Theorem A. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < 1$, then for each $q > 0$,

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |p'(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n C_q \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.4)$$

Where $C_q = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \right\}^{-\frac{1}{q}}$.

In (1.4), equality occurs for $p(z) = \alpha z^n + \beta$, $|\alpha| = |\beta|$.

For $q \geq 1$, Theorem A was found by de-Brujin [6] and later independently proved by Rahman [13]. For the special case $q = 2$, it was proved by Lax [12]. Rahman and Schmeisser [14] showed that (1.4) remain valid for $0 < q < 1$ as well.

For the class of polynomials having no zero in the disc $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, Govil and Rahman [10] proved the following inequality (1.5) for $q \geq 1$.

Later it was shown by Gardner and Weems [9], and independently by Rather [15] that inequality (1.5) also holds for $0 < q < 1$.

Theorem B. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, then for $q > 0$,

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |p'(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n F_q \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$F_q = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |k + e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \right\}^{-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Dewan and Bidkham [7] generalized the famous result due to Malik [11] by proving

Theorem C. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n such that it has no zero in $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, then for $1 \leq R \leq k$,

$$\max_{|z|=R} |p'(z)| \leq n \frac{(R+k)^{n-1}}{(1+k)^n} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|. \quad (1.6)$$

The result is best possible and extremal polynomial is $p(z) = \left(\frac{z+k}{1+k}\right)^n$.

Barchand and Dewan [5] obtained a generalization as well as an improvement of (1.6) by considering the s th derivative of $p(z)$.

Theorem D. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < k, k > 0$, then for $0 < r \leq R \leq k$, and $1 \leq s \leq n$,

$$\max_{|z|=R} |p^s(z)| \leq \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-s+1)}{R^s + k^s} \left(\frac{R+k}{r+k}\right)^n \left[\max_{|z|=r} |p(z)| - m \right], \quad (1.7)$$

Where $m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|$.

The result is best possible for $s = 1$ and equality in (1.7) holds for $p(z) = (z+k)^n$.

In this paper, we obtain an L^q version of Theorem D which has some interesting consequences. More precisely, we have

Theorem. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < k, k > 0$, then for $0 < r \leq R \leq k$, integers $s, 1 \leq s \leq n$ and $q > 0$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p^s(Re^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n(n-1)\dots(n-s+1) C_q \left[\left(\frac{R+k}{r+k} \right)^n \{M(p, r) - m\} \right], \quad (1.8)$$

$$\text{Where } C_q = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |k^s + R^s e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \text{ and } m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|.$$

Remark 1.1. Taking limit as $q \rightarrow \infty$ in the inequality (1.8), we obtain inequality (1.7) of Theorem D.

Remark 1.2. If we put $r = s = 1$ in (1.8), we get an integral analogue of a best possible result proved by Aziz and Shah [3, Corollary 5] which is further an improvement of Theorem C due to Dewan and Bidkham [7].

Corollary 1.1. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < k, k > 0$, then for $1 \leq R \leq k$, and $q > 0$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p^s(Re^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n E_q \left[\left(\frac{R+k}{1+k} \right)^n \{M(p, 1) - m\} \right],$$

$$\text{Where } E_q = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |k + Re^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \text{ and } m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|.$$

Remark 1.3. Further, on putting $R = k = 1$ in our theorem, we have

Corollary 1.2. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < 1$, then for $0 < r \leq 1$, integers $s, 1 \leq s \leq n$ and $q > 0$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p^s(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n(n-1)\dots(n-s+1) E_q \left[\left(\frac{2}{r+1} \right)^n \{M(p, r) - m\} \right], \quad (1.9)$$

where $E_q = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ and $m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|$.

For $s = r = 1$, corollary 1.2 becomes the L^q version of a result due to Aziz and Dawood [1].

1.1 Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n which does not vanish in $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, then for each $q > 0$ and integers $s, 1 \leq s \leq n$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p^s(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n(n-1)\dots(n-s+1) B_q \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

$$\text{Where } B_q = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |k^s + e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

This result was proved by Aziz and Shah [2].

Lemma 2.2. Let $p(z) = a_0 + \sum_{v=\mu}^n a_v z^v, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$, be a polynomial of degree n having no zero in $|z| < k, k > 0$, then for $0 < r \leq R \leq k$,

$$M(p, R) \leq M(p, r) \left(\frac{R^\mu + k^\mu}{r^\mu + k^\mu} \right)^{\frac{n}{\mu}} - \left\{ \left(\frac{R^\mu + k^\mu}{r^\mu + k^\mu} \right)^{\frac{n}{\mu}} - 1 \right\} m,$$

where $m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|$.

The result is sharp and equality holds for the polynomial $p(z) = (z^\mu + k^\mu)^{\frac{n}{\mu}}$ where n is a multiple of μ . This lemma is due to Dewan et al [8].

1.2 Proof of the Theorem

If $p(z)$ has no zero in $|z| < k, k > 0$ and if $0 < r \leq R \leq k$, then $p(Rz)$ has no zero in $|z| < \frac{k}{R}, \frac{k}{R} \geq 1$. For any complex number α such that $|\alpha| < 1$, the polynomial $P(z) = p(Rz) + \alpha m$, where $m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|$ has no zero in $|z| < \frac{k}{R}$. It follows trivially in case $m = 0$. Suppose $m > 0$, then on the circle $|z| = \frac{k}{R}$, $\min_{|z|=\frac{k}{R}} |p(Rz)| = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)| = m$ and therefore for $|z| = \frac{k}{R}, |\alpha m| < m = |p(Rz)|$. Thus by Rouché's theorem $P(z)$ has no zero in the open disc $|z| < \frac{k}{R}$. If we apply Lemma 2.1 to $P(z)$, we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P^s(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n(n-1)\dots(n-s+1) C_q \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\text{Where } C_q = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \left(\frac{k}{R} \right)^s + e^{i\alpha} \right|^q d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Inequality (3.1) is equivalent to

$$R^s \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p^s(Re^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n(n-1)\dots(n-s+1) B_q \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(Re^{i\theta}) + \alpha m|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

That is,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p^s(Re^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq n(n-1)\dots(n-s+1) D_q \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(Re^{i\theta}) + \alpha m|^r d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \dots\dots(3.2)$$

$$\text{Where } D_q = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |k^s + R^s e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}$$

Now, we have for any θ with $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$,

$$|p(Re^{i\theta}) + \alpha m| \leq \max_{|z|=1} |p(Rz) + \alpha m|. \quad (3.3)$$

Suppose at some z_0 on $|z| = 1$, $|p(Rz) + \alpha m|$ attains its maximum.

Then $\max_{|z|=1} |p(Rz) + \alpha m| = |p(Rz_0) + \alpha m|$.

In $|p(Rz_0) + \alpha m|$, we choose suitable argument of α such that

$$\begin{aligned} |p(Rz_0) + \alpha m| &= |p(Rz_0)| - |\alpha|m \\ &\leq \max_{|z|=1} |p(Rz)| - |\alpha|m. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Combining (3.3) and (3.4), we get

$$|p(Re^{i\theta}) + \alpha m| \leq \max_{|z|=1} |p(Rz)| - |\alpha|m. \quad (3.5)$$

Using Lemma 2.2 for $\mu = 1$ in (3.5), we have

$$|p(Re^{i\theta}) + \alpha m| \leq M(p, r) \left(\frac{R+k}{r+k} \right)^n - \left\{ \left(\frac{R+k}{r+k} \right)^n - 1 \right\} m - |\alpha|m. \quad (3.6)$$

If we make use of inequality (3.6) in (3.2), we are lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p^s(Re^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq n(n-1) \dots (n-s+1) D_q \\ &\times \left[M(p, r) \left(\frac{R+k}{r+k} \right)^n - \left\{ \left(\frac{R+k}{r+k} \right)^n - 1 \right\} m - |\alpha|m \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Finally by letting $|\alpha| \rightarrow 1$ in (3.7), we obtain the desired result and the proof of the theorem is completed.

REFERENCES

- [1]. A. Aziz and Q.M. Dawood, *Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative*, J. Approx. Theory, 54(1988), 306-313.
- [2]. A. Aziz and W. M. Shah, *L^p inequalities for polynomials with restricted zeros*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 108(1998), 63-68.
- [3]. A. Aziz and W.M. Shah, *Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative*, Math. Inequal. and Appl. 7(3)(2004), 379-391.
- [4]. S. Bernstein, *Lecons Sur Les Propriétés extrémales et la meilleure approximation des fonctions analytiques d'une fonctions reele*, Paris, 1926.
- [5]. Barchand Chanam and K.K. Dewan, *Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative*, J. Interdisciplinary Math., 11(4) (2008), 469-478.
- [6]. N.G. de-Bruijn, *Inequalities concerning polynomials in the complex domain*, Nederl. Akad. Weten. Proc. Ser. A, 50(1947), 1265-1272, Indag. Math., 9(1947), 591-598.
- [7]. K.K. Dewan and M. Bidkham, *Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 166(1992), 319-324.
- [8]. K.K. Dewan, R.S. Yadav and M.S. Pukhta, *Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative*, Math. Inequal. and Appl., 2(2) (1999), 203-205.
- [9]. R.B. Gardner and Amy Weems, *A Bernstein-type of L^p inequality for a certain class of polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 219(1998), 472-478.
- [10]. N.K. Govil and Q.I. Rahman, *Functions of exponential type not vanishing in a half-plane and related polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 137(1969), 501-517.
- [11]. M.A. Malik, *On the derivative of a polynomial*, J. London Math. Soc., 1(1969), 57-60.
- [12]. P.D. Lax, *Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős on the derivative of a polynomial*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 50(1944), 509

- [13]. Q.I. Rahman, *Functions of exponential type*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 135(1969), 295-309.
- [14]. Q.I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, *L^p inequalities for polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory, 53(1988), 26-32].
- N.A. Rather, *Extremal properties and location of the zeros of polynomials*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Kashmir, 1998.
- [15]. N.A. Rather, *Extremal properties and location of the zeros of polynomials*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Kashmir, 1998.
- [16]. W. Rudin, *Real and complex Analysis*, Tata Mcgraw-Hill Publishing Company (Reprinted in India), 1977.
- [17]. A.E. Taylor, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, 1958.