International Organization of Research & Development (IORD)
ISSN: 2348-0831
Vol 12 Issue 02 | 2025

Agricultural Sustainability and Rural Livelihoods under Climate Stress in Jhunjhunu: Mapping Groundwater Decline through Geospatial Techniques

[1]Pankaj Sharma, [2]Dr. Alok Kumar Bansal
[1]Ph. D Research Scholar, Department – Geography, Shri Jagdish Prasad Jhabarmal Tibrewala University, Chudela, Jhunjhunu
[2]Associate Professor, Department – Geography, Shri Jagdish Prasad Jhabarmal Tibrewala University, Chudela, Jhunjhunu

DOI:10.5281/zenodo.19656957

ABSTRACT

Agricultural sustainability in semi-arid regions is inseparable from the question of water security. In Jhunjhunu district of Rajasthan, climate stress and groundwater decline are increasingly affecting the productive base of agriculture as well as the livelihood stability of rural households. Rising temperatures, rainfall irregularity, recurrent drought conditions, and persistent dependence on groundwater for irrigation have together created a fragile agrarian environment. Because a large section of the rural population depends directly or indirectly on farming, livestock, seasonal labor, and land-based activities, ecological stress in the district cannot be understood solely in terms of crop productivity. It must also be analyzed in relation to livelihood vulnerability, resource access, and the changing geography of sustainability. This article examines the interrelationship between agricultural sustainability, rural livelihoods, climate stress, and groundwater decline in Jhunjhunu with the aid of a geospatial perspective.

The study is analytical and descriptive in nature and relies on secondary data, groundwater assessments, district agricultural information, policy documents, and the interpretive use of geospatial techniques. The article argues that groundwater decline is not merely a hydrological concern but a central force shaping rural livelihood insecurity under changing climatic conditions. As irrigation becomes uncertain and the cost of extraction rises, agriculture loses resilience, cropping choices become defensive, and household strategies shift toward diversification, migration, and risk reduction. Geospatial reasoning helps identify spatial contrasts in vulnerability and highlights areas where the convergence of climate stress and groundwater decline places the greatest pressure on sustainability. The article concludes that agricultural sustainability in Jhunjhunu can no longer be addressed through productivity-centered approaches alone; it requires a broader framework linking water governance, environmental adaptation, and livelihood resilience.

Keywords: Agricultural sustainability; rural livelihoods; climate stress; groundwater decline; Jhunjhunu district; geospatial techniques; livelihood vulnerability

1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of agricultural sustainability has acquired renewed urgency in regions where environmental stress is undermining the material base of farming. In semi-arid districts such as Jhunjhunu, agriculture is deeply dependent on climate-sensitive water systems and on the ability of rural households to manage uncertainty. Over the past several decades, groundwater has functioned as a crucial support system that reduced monsoon risk and enabled seasonal cultivation. Yet this support system is now under severe strain. Declining aquifers, rising extraction costs, uneven recharge, and recurrent climatic irregularities have created a situation in which the sustainability of agriculture can no longer be measured only by crop output. It must also be assessed in relation to resource depletion, adaptive capacity, and the security of rural livelihoods.

This broadening of perspective is important because climate stress affects rural society through multiple pathways. Erratic rainfall may reduce yields, but it may also increase indebtedness, shrink wage opportunities, intensify fodder scarcity, and push households toward migration or occupational diversification. Similarly, groundwater decline is not only a matter of irrigation failure; it changes local power relations, access to productive resources, and the long-term viability of smallholder farming. Therefore, any serious examination of agricultural sustainability must connect environmental processes with household-level livelihood consequences.

Jhunjhunu offers an important case for such an analysis. The district combines semi-arid ecological conditions with substantial rural dependence on land-based activity. Climate stress and water scarcity are not isolated anomalies here; they are increasingly shaping the everyday logic of rural decision-making. By using a geospatial

perspective, this article seeks to explain how groundwater decline is distributed across space, how it intersects with climate stress, and how this intersection affects agricultural sustainability and rural livelihoods in Jhunjhunu district.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The literature on agricultural sustainability increasingly emphasizes that ecological resilience, water availability, and livelihood stability must be examined together rather than separately. Earlier discussions often treated sustainability in terms of productivity, soil conservation, and technological efficiency. More recent scholarship, especially in dryland and semi-arid contexts, has shifted toward a broader understanding that includes water security, adaptive capacity, livelihood diversification, and socio-ecological resilience. Such a perspective is especially relevant in regions where farming systems depend on fragile climatic regimes and declining groundwater resources.

Research on climate stress in India has consistently shown that dryland districts are among the most vulnerable agricultural zones. Variability in rainfall, rising temperatures, more frequent dry spells, and the increasing unpredictability of monsoon behavior have affected sowing patterns, crop yields, and farm incomes. In many studies, these environmental changes are closely linked with livelihood outcomes such as labor insecurity, indebtedness, migration, and changing household consumption strategies. This suggests that climate stress should not be seen as a purely biophysical disturbance but as a force that reorganizes rural livelihoods.

The groundwater literature adds another crucial layer to this discussion. Groundwater has historically enabled irrigation expansion and helped stabilize production in water-scarce regions, but overexploitation has led to depletion, quality decline, and uneven access. Scholars have shown that groundwater decline increases vulnerability in both ecological and social terms. It weakens irrigation reliability, raises production costs, and often benefits better-resourced farmers at the expense of smallholders. Geospatial approaches have been increasingly used to identify hotspots of stress, map land-use responses, and analyze agricultural change under water scarcity. Yet district-level studies linking groundwater decline to agricultural sustainability and livelihoods in a spatially explicit way remain limited. The present article addresses this need in the case of Jhunjhunu.

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The article seeks to examine agricultural sustainability and rural livelihoods in Jhunjhunu under the combined influence of climate stress and groundwater decline. Its first objective is to explain the conceptual relationship between climate stress, groundwater resources, and sustainable agriculture. The second is to assess the nature and trend of groundwater decline in Jhunjhunu district and its spatial implications. The third is to analyze how climate stress and groundwater scarcity affect agricultural sustainability through changes in irrigation reliability, crop viability, and resource use. The fourth is to understand how rural livelihoods are influenced by these environmental pressures. The final objective is to highlight the value of geospatial techniques in identifying vulnerable areas and informing policy responses.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts a descriptive, analytical, and interpretive research design. It is based on secondary data and conceptual analysis rather than primary field surveys. The sources used include district-level agricultural data, groundwater reports, government publications, research articles, and policy documents related to climate stress, rural livelihoods, sustainable agriculture, and geospatial resource analysis. This combination makes it possible to build a coherent explanation of environmental stress and livelihood change in Jhunjhunu without reducing the problem to a single variable.

The geospatial component of the study is used as an interpretive framework to understand the spatiality of groundwater decline and agricultural vulnerability. Concepts such as thematic mapping, GIS-based layering, temporal comparison, land-use interpretation, and hotspot identification guide the discussion. These techniques are especially useful because water stress, irrigation dependence, and livelihood vulnerability vary across space. A district-level average often conceals local intensities of crisis, whereas spatial analysis reveals clusters where environmental pressure and livelihood insecurity overlap.

The study also uses a systems approach. Agricultural sustainability is treated not as a fixed outcome but as an evolving balance among ecological conditions, resource access, productive choices, and livelihood stability. Similarly, rural livelihoods are analyzed in relation to both direct farm outcomes and indirect environmental effects such as wage instability, migration pressure, and adaptation costs. This methodological orientation allows the article to connect groundwater decline with broader questions of socio-ecological resilience.

Study Area Description

Jhunjhunu district forms part of the semi-arid tract of Rajasthan and is marked by low and irregular rainfall, high summer temperatures, moisture stress, and dependence on groundwater for irrigation. The district's rural economy is significantly influenced by agriculture, livestock rearing, and related informal employment. Cultivation patterns

vary according to water access, landholding size, and local agro-ecological conditions, but the wider farming system remains vulnerable to climatic irregularity and water scarcity.

Groundwater has become a critical support for agriculture in many parts of the district, especially where surface irrigation is limited. However, prolonged dependence on tube wells and bore wells has increased extraction pressure. In many localities, falling water tables, declining water quality, and increasing irrigation costs have created stress not only for crop production but also for household-level economic stability. As water becomes less secure, the district's agricultural system moves toward a more fragile and uneven structure.

The study area is therefore relevant for understanding how environmental stress translates into livelihood consequences. Jhunjhunu is neither a fully irrigated agricultural zone nor an entirely rainfed subsistence landscape. It is a transitional space in which climate stress, groundwater dependence, and socio-economic adaptation are strongly interconnected. This makes it an important district for examining the sustainability-livelihood nexus under resource depletion.

Agricultural Sustainability: Concept and Dimensions

Agricultural sustainability refers to the capacity of a farming system to remain productive, resource-efficient, environmentally balanced, and socially viable over time. It is not limited to yield performance in a single season. A sustainable agricultural system must conserve the ecological base on which production depends, maintain economic viability for cultivators, and support the long-term continuity of rural livelihoods. In semi-arid regions, the sustainability question is especially tied to water. Without reliable water availability, even technically productive agriculture may become structurally unsustainable.

The dimensions of sustainability in Jhunjhunu include soil-moisture conditions, irrigation reliability, crop suitability, input costs, resilience to climatic shocks, and the capacity of households to absorb agricultural risk. Sustainability also has a social dimension because agriculture cannot be considered sustainable if it consistently produces indebtedness, distress migration, or livelihood instability. Thus, agricultural sustainability in this context must be evaluated in terms of ecological resilience, economic practicality, and social endurance.

Climate stress and groundwater decline challenge all three dimensions simultaneously. They reduce ecological stability by increasing moisture stress, weaken economic viability by raising irrigation costs and production risk, and intensify social vulnerability by destabilizing income and employment patterns. This is why sustainability in Jhunjhunu must be approached as a socio-ecological issue rather than as a narrow matter of crop productivity.

Rural Livelihoods under Climate Stress

Rural livelihoods are built through a combination of farming, livestock rearing, seasonal labor, local trade, and informal support systems. In districts such as Jhunjhunu, agriculture remains central even when households rely on multiple income sources, because land and water shape both direct production and the wider rural economy. Climate stress influences livelihoods not only by affecting crops but also by altering fodder availability, labor demand, household expenditure, and the timing of rural work. Thus, climate change enters livelihood systems as a cumulative pressure rather than a single event.

Erratic rainfall and higher temperatures increase agricultural uncertainty, making farm income less predictable. When cultivation becomes risky, rural households often adopt coping strategies such as reducing input use, taking short-term loans, increasing dependence on wage labor, or sending family members to urban areas for employment. These strategies may help households survive in the short term, but they also indicate weakening agrarian stability. Climate stress therefore leads to livelihood diversification, but this diversification is often defensive rather than opportunity-driven.

The burden of climate stress is also socially differentiated. Better-resourced households may use savings, technology, or irrigation assets to buffer risk, whereas small and marginal farmers are more likely to absorb losses directly. Therefore, the study of rural livelihoods under climate stress must pay attention not only to adaptation but also to inequality in adaptive capacity.

Groundwater Decline in Jhunjhunu District

Groundwater decline in Jhunjhunu is a central dimension of environmental stress because underground water has become one of the main supports of cultivation in a water-scarce setting. Over time, extraction has increased due to irrigation demand, population pressure, and limited alternatives. In the absence of sufficient recharge, aquifers have come under significant stress. The result is a decline in water levels, reduced well productivity, increased pumping depth, and rising uncertainty in irrigation planning.

The implications of this decline extend far beyond hydrology. When groundwater depth increases, irrigation becomes more expensive and less accessible. Some farmers may deepen wells or invest in more powerful pumps, but others cannot. This produces unequal access to agricultural continuity. It may also reduce the area under assured irrigation, shorten the period during which water can be used, or make certain crops financially unviable. In this way, groundwater decline undermines the basic conditions required for agricultural sustainability.

Spatially, groundwater decline is not uniform. Certain parts of the district show more severe pressure due to concentrated extraction, low recharge, or greater irrigation dependence. Mapping such variation is important because it shows where sustainability is most fragile and where livelihood vulnerability is likely to deepen fastest.

Geospatial Mapping of Groundwater Decline

Geospatial techniques provide an important methodological advantage in understanding groundwater decline because they allow researchers to visualize environmental pressure across space and time. Through thematic mapping, spatial layering, and temporal comparison, it becomes possible to identify areas of severe stress, compare blocks, and interpret the relationship between water decline and agricultural response. In a district such as Jhunjhunu, where ecological conditions vary within relatively short distances, such mapping is crucial.

Geospatial analysis can be used to connect groundwater decline with other indicators such as land-use change, cropping intensity, vegetation patterns, and settlement pressure. It can also reveal how hydrological stress overlaps with zones of agricultural dependence. This overlap is especially important for policy because it highlights where interventions should be prioritized. Without spatial diagnosis, policy often remains broad and reactive; with geospatial interpretation, it becomes more targeted and preventive.

The value of geospatial mapping lies not only in its visual strength but also in its planning relevance. It helps transform groundwater decline from an abstract environmental problem into a locationally specific development issue. In this sense, geospatial techniques strengthen both diagnosis and policy design.

Impact on Agricultural Sustainability

Groundwater decline and climate stress jointly weaken agricultural sustainability in Jhunjhunu by undermining the reliability of irrigation and increasing production uncertainty. As water becomes less secure, farmers face difficulty in maintaining crop intensity, supporting input-responsive cultivation, and planning multi-season agricultural activity. Sustainability is weakened because the system must increasingly depend on extraction from a declining resource base. Such a situation may produce output in the short term, but it cannot ensure long-term ecological and economic stability.

Another effect is the narrowing of crop options. Sustainable agriculture requires some degree of flexibility and adaptive crop planning, but under severe water stress farmers may be forced into low-risk, low-return choices. This reduces economic resilience. In addition, rising irrigation costs can make cultivation profitable only for those with greater capital or better water access, thereby excluding vulnerable cultivators from sustainable participation in agriculture. Thus, the environmental crisis also becomes a crisis of agricultural inclusion.

Soil moisture stress, degradation of traditional water systems, reduced fodder availability, and irregular crop performance together create a feedback loop in which sustainability weakens over time. In such a context, sustainable agriculture cannot be restored simply by increasing production incentives. It requires repairing the ecological foundations of cultivation, especially water governance and climate adaptation capacity.

IMPACT ON RURAL LIVELIHOODS

The effects of climate stress and groundwater decline on rural livelihoods are broad and cumulative. Reduced irrigation reliability lowers crop income, but the consequences extend further. Households dependent on agricultural labor may find fewer work opportunities when cultivated area shrinks. Livestock keepers may face fodder scarcity, and smallholders may become more dependent on credit. In many cases, environmental stress increases the volatility of income rather than simply reducing its level. This volatility undermines livelihood security even when households manage to avoid complete crop failure.

Migration becomes a common response when local agrarian opportunities weaken. Seasonal or temporary migration may supplement household income, but it also signals the inability of the local agricultural economy to provide stable support. Similarly, occupational diversification may reflect adaptation, yet it often emerges from compulsion rather than planned economic advancement. Women, elderly family members, and small farmers may bear a disproportionate share of the burden when male labor migrates or when household resources shrink.

Therefore, the livelihood impact of groundwater decline must be understood in relational terms. It affects income, labor, debt, food security, social organization, and the intergenerational sustainability of rural life. In Jhunjhunu, the sustainability question is inseparable from whether agricultural households can continue to live with dignity and relative stability under climate stress.

Climate Stress, Agriculture, and Groundwater: Interlinked Analysis

Climate stress, agriculture, and groundwater decline form an interdependent system rather than three separate problems. Climate variability reduces rainfall reliability and weakens natural recharge. As recharge declines, dependence on groundwater extraction increases. Higher extraction, in turn, accelerates depletion and reduces the irrigation cushion that might otherwise protect farming during dry years. Agriculture becomes trapped in a cycle of increasing dependence on a declining resource. This is one of the central contradictions of semi-arid agrarian systems.

The interlinkage is equally important at the livelihood level. When climate stress lowers yields and groundwater decline raises irrigation costs, households face both income loss and expenditure increase. This double pressure can intensify indebtedness, labor outmigration, and social differentiation. Better-resourced farmers may continue adapting through technology and capital investment, while poorer households become more vulnerable. Thus, the climate-groundwater nexus is also a livelihood inequality nexus.

Understanding these interconnections is essential for policy. If climate adaptation is pursued without groundwater regulation, the system remains fragile. If groundwater management is attempted without recognizing livelihood dependency, interventions may become socially exclusionary. The interlinked analysis therefore supports integrated approaches that connect environmental sustainability with rural welfare.

Adaptation Strategies and Policy Recommendations

Adaptation in Jhunjhunu must begin with water-sensitive agricultural planning. Groundwater management should be treated as a core pillar of rural development, not as a separate technical issue. Recharge structures, revival of local water bodies, rainwater harvesting, and community-based water governance can help strengthen the ecological base of agriculture. In addition, block-level geospatial monitoring should be institutionalized to identify areas of severe decline and to guide intervention priorities.

Crop planning also needs to respond to the realities of climate stress and water scarcity. Extension services should promote crops and varieties suited to semi-arid conditions, encourage efficient irrigation practices, and provide localized advisories based on seasonal water availability. Weather-linked insurance, groundwater-aware credit systems, and support for fodder security can reduce vulnerability. Adaptation should not only improve productivity but also reduce livelihood risk.

Finally, rural livelihood policy must move beyond the assumption that agriculture alone can absorb all environmental pressure. Diversified but dignified livelihood options, skill support, local employment generation, and social protection measures can strengthen household resilience. The geospatial identification of high-vulnerability zones can help align such interventions with actual conditions on the ground. In this way, sustainability and livelihood security can be approached together rather than in isolation.

CONCLUSION

The article highlights that agricultural sustainability in Jhunjhunu is being increasingly shaped by the interaction between climate stress and groundwater decline. The district's semi-arid agriculture depends on a fragile balance among rainfall, irrigation, and household adaptation, and that balance is now under pressure. Groundwater depletion has reduced the reliability of irrigation, climate variability has intensified production uncertainty, and the combined effect has weakened the ecological, economic, and social foundations of agriculture. Sustainability, therefore, can no longer be evaluated through output indicators alone; it must be understood in relation to resource depletion and livelihood resilience.

The study also shows that rural livelihoods are deeply affected by these environmental changes. Income insecurity, crop instability, labor uncertainty, and migration pressures reflect the widening social consequences of ecological stress. Geospatial techniques help reveal that these processes are spatially differentiated. Some zones face much greater groundwater pressure and livelihood vulnerability than others, making spatially informed planning indispensable.

The overall conclusion is that the future of agriculture in Jhunjhunu depends on integrated policy that links climate adaptation, groundwater governance, and rural livelihood support. Without such integration, both agricultural sustainability and rural stability will continue to weaken. With it, however, there remains scope for building a more resilient and environmentally grounded agrarian future.

REFERENCES

Central Ground Water Board. Ground Water Year Book: Rajasthan. Government of India.
Food and Agriculture Organization. Water for Sustainable Food and Agriculture. FAO.
Government of Rajasthan. Economic Review. Jaipur: Directorate of Economics and Statistics.
IPCC. Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance. Government of India.
Ministry of Jal Shakti. Dynamic Ground Water Resources of India. Government of India.
National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development. Climate Change and Agriculture in India. NABARD.
National Sample Survey Office. Situation Assessment of Agricultural Households. Government of India.
World Bank. Deep Wells and Prudence: Towards Pragmatic Action for Addressing Groundwater Overexploitation in India. World Bank.
Yadav, S., and Sharma, P. Dryland Agriculture and Water Stress in Rajasthan. Jaipur: Academic Publications.