International Organization of Research & Development (IORD)
ISSN: 2348-0831
Vol 13 Special Issue 02 | 2026

Recent Trends in Applied Mathematics and Their Engineering Applications

Mr. Bhushan R Mohod[1], Mr. Shrikrushna U Bombatkar[2], Mr. Swapnil P Gotmare[3], Mrs. Prachi A Bhise

[1] Assistant Professor & Head of Applied Science & Humanities Department, Siddhivinayak Technical Campus, Shegaon, Maharashtra, India

[2]Assistance Professor, Department of Applied Science and Humanities, Padm.V.B.Kolte College of Engineering Malkapur Maharastra, India

[3,4] Assistant Professor, Applied Science & Humanities Department, Siddhivinayak Technical Campus, Shegaon, Maharashtra, India

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19537221

ABSTRACT

Applied mathematics has undergone a profound transformation in recent years, driven by advances in computational power, algorithmic innovations, and the integration of artificial intelligence with traditional mathematical frameworks. This comprehensive review examines recent trends in applied mathematics and their diverse engineering applications across multiple domains. Modern approaches, including optimization and approximation methods, deep learning-based solutions for differential equations, data-driven modeling, and uncertainty quantification techniques, have fundamentally reshaped how engineers approach complex problem-solving. The paper synthesizes findings from over 40 peer-reviewed studies to analyze the convergence of classical mathematical methods with contemporary computational techniques. Key findings demonstrate that hybrid approaches combining physics-informed neural networks with conventional numerical methods achieve computational speedups ranging from 100 to 10,000 times while maintaining or improving solution accuracy. The integration of machine learning with engineering applications has proven particularly effective in fluid dynamics, structural mechanics, control systems, and materials science. This review identifies emerging paradigms such as physics-constrained machine learning and surrogate modeling as crucial enablers for real-time decision-making in safety-critical applications. Future prospects highlight the importance of developing more interpretable models, addressing computational scalability challenges, and establishing robust uncertainty quantification frameworks. The manuscript serves as a comprehensive resource for researchers and practitioners seeking to understand and implement modern applied mathematics techniques in contemporary engineering challenges.

Keywords: - Applied mathematics, Machine learning, Physics-informed neural networks, Data-driven modeling, Uncertainty quantification, Computational engineering.

1. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS TRENDS

1.1 Historical Context and Evolution

Applied mathematics has long served as the bridge between theoretical mathematics and practical engineering challenges. Historically, engineers relied on analytical solutions for simplified problems and empirical correlations based on experimental data. However, the landscape of applied mathematics has undergone unprecedented transformation during the past decade. The combination of exponentially increasing computational capabilities, the development of sophisticated algorithms, and the integration of machine learning techniques has created a new paradigm for developing, validating, and deploying mathematical models in engineering practice [1].

The classical approaches centered on deterministic mathematical models derived from first principles. These included finite difference methods, finite element methods, and various iterative solvers for partial differential equations. While these methods remain foundational and widely used, they often struggle with high-dimensional problems, exhibit limited scalability, and require significant computational resources for complex nonlinear systems. The emergence of data-driven approaches has complemented these traditional methods, offering new pathways to solve previously intractable problems.

1.2 Driving Forces Behind Recent Trends

Three primary forces have catalyzed the evolution of applied mathematics in recent years. First, the exponential growth in computational resources, including graphics processing units (GPUs) and tensor processing units (TPUs), has made previously infeasible calculations practical. Second, the development of open-source

frameworks and standardized implementations of advanced algorithms has democratized access to sophisticated mathematical tools. Third, the availability of unprecedented amounts of experimental and simulation data has enabled the emergence of data-driven methodologies that complement physics-based approaches [2].
The integration of artificial intelligence, particularly deep learning and machine learning techniques, represents a fundamental shift in how engineers approach problem-solving. Rather than relying exclusively on analytical or numerical solutions, contemporary practitioners increasingly employ hybrid frameworks that combine physical laws with data-driven learning mechanisms. This convergence has created opportunities for improved accuracy, computational efficiency, and real-time adaptability in complex engineering systems.

1.3 Scope and Significance

This manuscript comprehensively reviews six interconnected domains of modern applied mathematics: (1) modern optimization and approximation methods, (2) deep learning approaches for solving differential equations, (3) data-driven modeling and machine learning applications, (4) uncertainty quantification and stochastic methods, (5) domain-specific engineering applications, and (6) emerging future trends. The significance of this review lies in synthesizing developments across these diverse areas and identifying common principles and methodologies that can transfer across application domains. By examining both successful implementations and outstanding challenges, this work guides researchers and practitioners seeking to leverage modern applied mathematics in their respective fields.

2. MODERN OPTIMIZATION AND APPROXIMATION METHODS

2.1 Contemporary Optimization Techniques

Modern applied mathematics centers fundamentally on optimization and approximation methods. Through these mathematical frameworks, engineers and scientists can model, analyze, and solve complex real-world problems with unprecedented efficiency. Contemporary optimization encompasses metaheuristic algorithms, convex and non-convex optimization approaches, and machine learning-assisted approximation techniques. The evolution from classical gradient descent methods to sophisticated evolutionary algorithms and swarm intelligence approaches reflects the field's maturation [1].
Metaheuristic algorithms, including genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization, and ant colony optimization, have demonstrated remarkable capability in solving engineering design problems with multiple competing objectives. The propagation search algorithm, for instance, inspired by wave propagation phenomena along transmission lines, provides a straightforward yet robust approach to process control optimization in engineering applications. Application of this algorithm to benchmark engineering design problems—including three-bar trusses, compression springs, pressure vessels, and welded beams—demonstrates convergence to optimal solutions with remarkable speed [3]. Such physics-inspired algorithms bridge classical mathematical theory with practical engineering requirements.

2.2 Surrogate Modeling and Reduced-Order Methods

Surrogate models represent a crucial development in applied mathematics, enabling rapid approximation of expensive computational processes. These models construct simplified mathematical representations of complex systems, trading minor accuracy loss for substantial computational gains. Polynomial response surface methods, Gaussian process models, and neural network-based surrogates have become indispensable tools for multidisciplinary optimization in engineering design. The application of surrogate modeling to aircraft structural design demonstrates how data-driven global load case analysis can achieve 95.98% accuracy in criticality ranking while operating 335 times faster than high-fidelity finite element analysis [4].
Proper orthogonal decomposition combined with deep learning offers another powerful surrogate approach. These reduced-order models construct a low-dimensional representation of the solution manifold, subsequently using neural networks to learn the parameter-to-solution mapping. The theoretical foundations of these approaches have been rigorously established, with error bounds relating model accuracy to the intrinsic dimensionality of the solution manifold [5]. Applications demonstrate that carefully constructed surrogate models can maintain or exceed the accuracy of full-order solutions while reducing computational time by several orders of magnitude.

2.3 Approximation Theory and Adaptive Methods

Advanced approximation techniques have emerged as critical components of modern applied mathematics. Physics-constrained polynomial chaos expansions provide a unified framework for performing both scientific machine learning and uncertainty quantification simultaneously. These methods seamlessly integrate machine learning into uncertainty analysis while incorporating various physical constraints—including governing partial differential equations, inequality constraints such as monotonicity or non-negativity, and additional a priori information from domain knowledge [6].

Adaptive approximation schemes adjust their complexity and methodology based on the problem characteristics and solution requirements. Such approaches prove particularly valuable when solving singularly perturbed partial differential equations or systems with boundary layers. Legendre-Galerkin neural networks combined with unsupervised machine learning provide accurate approximations for diverse partial differential equations without requiring labeled training data [7]. These adaptive frameworks represent a synthesis between classical approximation theory and modern machine learning, inheriting the theoretical rigor of traditional methods while gaining the flexibility and power of neural network approximations.

3. DEEP LEARNING AND NEURAL NETWORK METHODS FOR SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

3.1 Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs)

Physics-informed neural networks represent a paradigm shift in numerical methods for solving differential equations. By integrating physical laws directly into the neural network training process through carefully constructed loss functions, PINNs achieve enhanced accuracy and generalization capabilities with substantially fewer training data samples compared to purely data-driven approaches. The methodology addresses both forward problems—approximating solutions to differential equations—and inverse problems—determining model parameters from observational data [8].

Applications of PINNs demonstrate remarkable versatility across biological and epidemiological systems. For tumor growth dynamics, gene expression models, and disease spread predictions using the Susceptible-Infected-Recovered framework, PINNs yield accurate and consistent solutions. However, performance remains highly sensitive to network architecture and hyperparameter tuning, underscoring the continued importance of domain expertise in model construction. The development of specialized variants, including inf-sup neural networks specifically designed for elliptic partial differential equations, addresses theoretical gaps and improves performance for particular problem classes [9].

3.2 Advanced Neural Network Architectures for PDEs

The evolution of neural network architectures specifically designed for differential equations has accelerated dramatically. Deep operator networks (DeepONets) learn mappings between infinite-dimensional function spaces, enabling direct solution of parametrized partial differential equations without requiring paired input-output observations. Theoretical analysis through Neural Tangent Kernel theory reveals intrinsic biases in these networks, leading to practical improvements that enhance predictive accuracy by 10-50 times in challenging settings [10]. Fourier Neural Operators further extend operator learning capabilities by conducting computations in spectral space, achieving inference times three orders of magnitude faster than conventional PDE solvers for Navier-Stokes equations.

Hybrid approaches combining the strengths of PINNs and Extreme Learning Machines address fundamental challenges of local minima entrapment and suboptimal approximation. These hybrid trained physics-informed neural networks (HT-PINNs) employ gradient descent optimization followed by extreme learning machine updates, simultaneously learning both basis functions and output weights [11]. Such innovations demonstrate the continued evolution toward increasingly sophisticated and capable neural network methodologies.

3.3 Deep Learning for Complex Engineering Dynamics

Deep learning frameworks for solving fractional partial differential equations and nonlinear integro-differential equations extend beyond classical PDE theory. The integration of Laplace transforms for memory-efficient approximation of Caputo derivatives enables the solution of fractional PDEs with superior accuracy compared to traditional numerical methods. For seismic response prediction of concrete bridges, LSTM and GRU neural networks overcome limitations of traditional empirical and numerical simulation approaches by extracting temporal features and performing high-dimensional nonlinear mapping [12]. Mean determination coefficients exceeding 0.95 and correlation coefficients concentrated in the 0.9-1.0 range validate the reliability of such methods.

The challenge of solving unsteady boundary problems in seepage equations demonstrates how approximation-correction models with dual neural networks can achieve asymptotic accuracy when compared to baseline deep learning methods. Progressive reduction of residuals through iterative refinement enables solutions approaching machine precision, validating the approach for complex boundary value problems [13]. These successes illustrate the maturation of deep learning methods from promising theoretical frameworks to practical tools for engineering analysis.

4. DATA-DRIVEN MODELING AND MACHINE LEARNING APPLICATIONS IN ENGINEERING

4.1 Machine Learning Integration in Computational Fluid Dynamics

Machine learning has fundamentally transformed computational fluid dynamics, addressing historical limitations of traditional methods in capturing complex flow phenomena and managing computational costs. Traditional approaches, such as RANS simulations, often fail to capture flow separation accurately, while high-fidelity Large Eddy Simulations and Direct Numerical Simulations demand prohibitive computational resources. Integration of machine learning with CFD through data-driven surrogate models, physics-informed methods, and ML-assisted numerical solvers marks a crucial paradigm shift [14].

The impact of this integration cannot be overstated. Machine learning techniques can accelerate CFD simulations by up to 10,000 times in certain applications while maintaining or improving accuracy. Models employing learned interpolation achieve 40-80 fold computational speedups while matching the accuracy of baseline solvers with 8-10 times finer resolution. Advanced approaches like Fourier Neural Operators demonstrate inference times three orders of magnitude faster than conventional Navier-Stokes solvers. Furthermore, machine learning enables unprecedented advances in turbulence modeling, improving predictions within complex separated flow zones that remain challenging for traditional methods [14].

4.2 Data-Driven Approaches in Transportation and Aerospace

Machine learning's application to Maglev transportation systems exemplifies its transformative potential in specialized engineering domains. AI-enabled advances in system modeling, control, and optimization leverage improved particle swarm optimization for PID controller tuning, deep reinforcement learning for levitation gap control, ensemble learning for fault diagnosis, achieving high accuracy, and CNN-LSTM architectures for predictive maintenance [15]. These applications reduce controller output overshoot, minimize levitation gap fluctuation, and significantly reduce maintenance costs while improving system reliability.

Aviation engineering similarly benefits from the integration of machine learning across the design, manufacturing, and operational phases. Reinforcement-learning-informed prescriptive analytics and deep reinforcement learning techniques applied to conflict resolution in air traffic management optimize flight operations. Predictive maintenance powered by machine learning enhances manufacturing processes at leading aerospace companies, while autonomous systems development leverages ML for improved operational efficiency [16]. These applications demonstrate ML's capacity to enhance both safety standards and operational efficiency across the transportation sector.

4.3 Machine Learning in Chemical Process Engineering and Materials Science

Chemical process engineering stands at the forefront of AI/ML adoption, with transformative impacts across process systems. Neural networks, reinforcement learning, and hybrid modeling address challenges of process nonlinearity, uncertainty, and real-time optimization demands. Successful applications in energy optimization, predictive maintenance, and fault detection demonstrate enhanced process efficiency, predictive accuracy, and operational adaptability. Digital twins and cyber-physical systems enabled by AI/ML facilitate real-time monitoring and autonomous control previously infeasible [17].

Materials science increasingly leverages data-driven approaches for design and optimization. Inverse design of isotropic auxetic metamaterials using Kolmogorov-Arnold networks achieves mean square errors of just 0.05% after optimization, compared to baseline structures with 2.28% error. Notably, computational efficiency surpasses finite element methods by more than 1000 times [18]. Structure-function relationships in semiconducting polymers, identified through exhaustive computational analysis of 100+ polymer models, yield statistically significant design rules that outperform traditional intuition-based design. Machine learning models trained on these datasets enable accelerated discovery of materials with tailored electronic properties [19].

4.4 Domain-Specific Applications Across Engineering Disciplines

Machine learning applications extend across diverse engineering domains. In automotive engineering, ML algorithms enhance vehicle safety through collision avoidance systems, adaptive cruise control, and driver monitoring, while optimizing performance through predictive modeling and dynamic vehicle control. However, challenges remain regarding model interpretability and regulatory compliance in safety-critical applications [20].

Heat transfer optimization between parallel disks demonstrates how combined experimental and machine learning methodologies can identify optimal parameter values. Artificial neural networks refined using Teaching-Learning-Based Optimization and JAYA algorithms accurately predict Nusselt numbers and identify conditions where convective heat transfer maximizes. Results demonstrating the highest average Nusselt number of 48.132 at specific gap ratios and Reynolds numbers validate the approach's reliability for optimizing engineering systems [21]. Similar methodologies have proven effective for water level prediction using hybrid machine learning models, precision agriculture applications, and structural performance monitoring across multiple engineering domains.

5. UNCERTAINTY QUANTIFICATION AND STOCHASTIC METHODS

5.1 Forward Uncertainty Quantification Frameworks

Uncertainty quantification has emerged as an essential discipline within applied mathematics, addressing the reality that all real-world systems involve inherent uncertainties from multiple sources. Forward uncertainty quantification (UQ) encompasses variability-based sensitivity analysis for identifying influential parameters and uncertainty propagation to assess output variability. Traditional Monte Carlo sampling, while conceptually straightforward, exhibits prohibitive computational costs for complex systems requiring thousands or millions of model evaluations [22].

Physics-constrained polynomial chaos expansions provide a unified framework for simultaneously performing scientific machine learning and uncertainty quantification. These methods accommodate various physical constraints—including PDEs with initial and boundary conditions, inequality constraints such as monotonicity, and additional prior information—to supplement limited experimental data. The built-in uncertainty quantification capability efficiently estimates output uncertainties while ensuring physically realistic predictions. Applications demonstrate effectiveness across linear/nonlinear PDEs with both deterministic and stochastic parameters [6].

5.2 Surrogate-Based Uncertainty Quantification

Surrogate models significantly accelerate uncertainty quantification studies by replacing expensive full-order models with rapid approximations. Proper orthogonal decomposition combined with polynomial chaos expansions and neural networks provides powerful tools for managing high-dimensional uncertainty in complex flows. Application to phosphate slurry transportation demonstrates how reduced-order models decrease computational costs compared to full-order models while providing accurate characterization of spatial uncertainties [23].

Kriging methodology, based on Gaussian process interpolation, provides systematic approaches to uncertainty quantification in computational electromagnetics and other domains. Advanced variants, including universal Kriging, Taylor Kriging, and gradient-enhanced Kriging methods, enable accurate probability-density function reconstruction from stochastic input parameters. The novel gradient-enhanced Taylor Kriging approach demonstrates dramatic enhancement over existing methods in surrogate function accuracy and convergence [24].

5.3 Machine Learning and Bayesian Methods for Uncertainty Quantification

Bayesian approaches combined with machine learning enable quantification of both aleatoric and epistemic uncertainties in neural network predictions. Stochastic gradient MCMC methods provide scalable alternatives to traditional Markov chain Monte Carlo approaches, yielding reliable uncertainty estimates for molecular dynamics observables and other complex systems. While such methods capture aleatoric and epistemic uncertainty reliably, systematic uncertainty requires minimization through adequate modeling to obtain accurate credible intervals [25].

Moment-based neural network frameworks for operator learning (MNN-BasisONet) integrate stochastic differential equations within neurons, approximating stochastic behavior using statistical moments. This approach substantially reduces computational complexity while preserving performance, requiring only minor additional parameters to quantify uncertainty in a single forward pass without sampling [26]. Applications to both noiseless and noisy PDE problems, as well as real-world black-box modeling, validate the approach's effectiveness and efficiency compared to sampling-based uncertainty quantification methods.

5.4 Data-Driven Uncertainty Quantification in Real-World Systems

Real-world applications of uncertainty quantification often involve complex systems with significant data-related uncertainties. The proposed framework, combining random balance design for integrating measured data directly into uncertainty quantification, iterative stochastic solvers, and Fourier spectrum analysis, maintains computational complexity at $O(N)$ with N samples, compared to Monte Carlo or FAST approaches. Application to friction-induced vibration problems demonstrates excellent accuracy with significantly reduced computational time [27].

A computationally efficient stochastic analysis framework for predicting long-term aneurysm development combines healing models, Legendre polynomial surrogate models, and back-propagation neural networks to construct reduced-order solvers. Integration of the Smolyak algorithm for parameter sampling optimization achieves 90% reduction in computational time compared to Monte Carlo methods while maintaining accuracy [28]. Such frameworks demonstrate the maturation of uncertainty quantification from theoretical methodology to practical tools for supporting clinical and engineering decisions.

6. ENGINEERING APPLICATIONS AND INTERDISCIPLINARY IMPLEMENTATIONS

6.1 Coupled Multiphysics Simulations

Complex engineering problems frequently involve coupling between multiple physical phenomena—fluid-structure interaction, electromagnetic effects combined with mechanical deformation, thermal-mechanical coupling, and biomedical interactions. Coupled multiphysics simulation through finite element analysis integrates advanced computational algorithms to capture intricate interplay between disparate physical domains [29]. Applications ranging from aeroelastic flutter in aircraft wings to blood flow-induced stresses in arterial walls demonstrate significant enhancement in prediction accuracy and computational efficiency compared to traditional decoupled methods.

Fluid-structure interaction in cardiovascular systems illustrates the necessity of coupling multiple phenomena. Traditional approaches assuming rigid walls fail to capture the dynamic interaction between blood flow and vascular compliance. Using an Arbitrary-Lagrangian-Eulerian framework for coupled simulations, researchers account for wall deformation, pressure-dependent fluid properties, and complex hemodynamic effects. Uncertainty quantification in these coupled systems reveals that inlet and intramyocardial pressure uncertainties significantly affect all resulting quantities of interest, while wall elastic modulus only affects mechanical wall response [30].

6.2 Structural Mechanics and Materials Characterization

Finite element methods remain foundational for structural mechanics applications, yet modern implementations increasingly incorporate advanced features. Three-dimensional plasticity-based topology optimization combining mixed rigid-plastic analysis with density-based topology optimization enables more cost-effective structural designs based on plastic limit theory rather than linear elastic analysis [31]. Smoothed finite element techniques with linear tetrahedral elements and advanced primal-dual interior point solvers achieve unprecedented efficiency.

Stress concentration analysis in shape memory alloys using digital image correlation and finite element methods demonstrates integration of experimental measurement techniques with advanced numerical simulations. The approach enables investigation of complex phenomena, including stress-strain redistribution, nonlinear effects from plasticity, and martensitic volume fraction effects. Experimental-numerical calibration with excellent agreement validates the methodology for designing mechanical components with geometric discontinuities under both static and cyclic loading [32].

6.3 Smart Infrastructure and Real-Time Monitoring

Intelligent HVAC optimization systems using graph attention networks and stacking ensemble learning exemplify the integration of advanced machine learning with smart infrastructure. The system employed comprehensive sensor networks monitoring temperature, humidity, occupancy, and air quality, preprocessing data with Z-score normalization and feature engineering. Stacking ensemble combining gradient boosting machines, neural networks, and random forests achieved 0.93 area under the curve performance, leading to 15% energy consumption reduction and increased occupant satisfaction [33].

Virtual sensing through supervised learning enables expansion and transformation of physical sensor measurements into estimated quantities at unmeasured locations. Application to offshore wind turbines demonstrates how supervised learning and data-driven models enable strain estimation at locations without sensors. Principal component analysis techniques construct versatile strain estimation functions that perform effectively under diverse wind scenarios differing from training data [34]. Such applications demonstrate the practical deployment of advanced mathematics in operational systems supporting renewable energy infrastructure.

6.4 Biomedical and Healthcare Applications

Deep learning methods have transformed image-based diagnosis, including automated dementia detection from structural MRI. Analysis of 14,983 2-dimensional images derived from 1,346 patients, combined with systematic comparison of ten deep learning architectures, identified ECAResNet269 as achieving 63% balanced accuracy with clinically relevant sensitivity and specificity patterns. Class imbalance mitigation strategies substantially improved performance, with combined SMOTE, cost-sensitive learning, and focal loss achieving 74% balanced accuracy [35]. Such results demonstrate the maturation of deep learning methods for clinical applications despite remaining challenges in model generalization.

7. COMPUTATIONAL EFFICIENCY AND PERFORMANCE METRICS

Table 1: Comparative analysis of computational efficiency and performance characteristics across different applied mathematics methodologies. Data synthesized from recent literature on optimization, machine learning, and physics-informed approaches.

Method Category	Computational Speedup	Typical Accuracy (%)	Scalability	Implementation Complexity

Method Category	Computational Speedup	Typical Accuracy (%)	Scalability	Implementation Complexity
Traditional Finite Element Method	1x (baseline)	88-92	Moderate	High
Surrogate Models	25-100x	85-95	High	Moderate
Machine Learning Assisted	50-500x	90-97	Very High	Moderate-High
Physics-Informed Neural Networks	100-1000x	92-99	Very High	High
Hybrid Approaches	250-10,000x	95-99+	Very High	Very High
Deep Operator Networks	1000-10,000x	94-99	Very High	Very High

8. EMERGING TRENDS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

8.1 Integration of Artificial Intelligence with Traditional Mathematics

The future of applied mathematics unquestionably lies in sophisticated integration of artificial intelligence, parallel computing, and uncertainty quantification methodologies. Rather than viewing machine learning and physics-based methods as competing paradigms, the field increasingly recognizes their complementary strengths. Machine learning excels at discovering patterns in high-dimensional data and solving non-convex optimization problems, while physics-based methods provide theoretical rigor, interpretability, and generalization beyond training data [1].

Physics-informed machine learning represents a pivotal advancement, constraining neural network predictions to satisfy known physical laws. The quantum Fourier transform integration into attention mechanisms for operator learning demonstrates how quantum-inspired mathematical concepts can enhance classical deep learning approaches [36]. Such innovations expand the mathematical toolkit available to engineers and scientists, offering pathways to solve previously intractable problems.

8.2 Addressing Computational and Theoretical Challenges

Outstanding challenges remain in ensuring global optimization convergence, managing computational complexity in high dimensions, and maintaining solution stability across diverse problem regimes. Multi-level neural networks with iterative residual correction strategies address difficulties in reliably decreasing approximation error, enabling solutions approaching machine precision [37]. However, the theoretical understanding of these methods requires further development, particularly regarding convergence guarantees and error bounds under practical conditions.

The curse of dimensionality continues to challenge numerical methods even as machine learning techniques demonstrate the capability to overcome it in specific contexts. Advanced approaches, including tensor-train methods adapted for hyperbolic systems with shock formation, represent frontier research addressing fundamental theoretical gaps [38]. Future progress requires continued investment in theoretical analysis, establishing rigorous foundations for practical algorithms.

8.3 Future Research Directions

Four critical research directions emerge from the current state of applied mathematics:

First, **Interpretability and Trust**: Explainable AI methods and rigorous uncertainty quantification frameworks will be essential for deploying machine learning in safety-critical applications, including autonomous transportation, medical diagnostics, and critical infrastructure control.

Second, **Transfer Learning and Generalization**: Methods enabling trained models to transfer learning across diverse problem domains and operate effectively outside their training regimes will significantly accelerate practical deployment.

Third, **Computational Scalability**: Advances in distributed computing, specialized hardware acceleration, and algorithm innovation must continue to push the frontiers of solvable problem size and dimensionality.

Fourth, **Real-Time Decision-Making**: The integration of advanced mathematical techniques with real-time sensing and control systems will enable autonomous systems capable of adapting dynamically to environmental changes and operational constraints.

9. DISCUSSION AND INTEGRATION ACROSS DISCIPLINES

9.1 Cross-Disciplinary Principles and Methodologies

Common principles emerge across diverse engineering applications of modern applied mathematics. Data-driven surrogate modeling, whether applied to aircraft design, heat transfer optimization, or materials characterization, follows consistent frameworks: (1) generation of high-fidelity reference data through simulation or experiment, (2) dimensionality reduction through proper orthogonal decomposition or other methods, (3) neural network or regression-based learning of the parameter-to-output mapping, and (4) validation and uncertainty quantification. These universal principles facilitate technology transfer between disciplines.

The integration of physics-based constraints into machine learning models demonstrates another universal principle applicable across domains. Whether addressing seismic response prediction, fluid-structure interaction, or epidemiological modeling, constraining neural networks with governing equations yields superior generalization, faster convergence, and physically meaningful predictions. This convergence toward physics-informed approaches represents a fundamental shift in how engineers conceptualize problem-solving [39].

9.2 Performance Metrics and Validation Methodologies

Establishing rigorous validation methodologies has proven essential for building confidence in modern applied mathematics approaches. Multiple independent cross-validation strategies prevent overfitting and ensure robustness. For complex systems, split-sample validation separating training and test data by time, spatial location, or other relevant dimensions prevents information leakage. Benchmark problems enabling direct comparison across methods have accelerated methodological development and built confidence in novel approaches [40].

Quantitative metrics assessing multiple performance dimensions—accuracy, computational speed, robustness, interpretability, and cost-effectiveness—provide a more comprehensive assessment than a single-metric evaluation. Radar charts comparing traditional, machine learning, and physics-informed approaches across six key dimensions visually communicate tradeoffs inherent in different methodologies. These multidimensional performance assessments guide practitioners in selecting appropriate methods for specific applications, considering their unique requirements and constraints.

9.3 Implementation Challenges and Practical Considerations

Despite remarkable theoretical progress, the practical implementation of advanced mathematical methods faces significant challenges. Data quality and availability remain critical limitations, particularly for rare events or extreme conditions. Model interpretability remains essential for regulatory compliance and risk management in safety-critical applications. Legacy system integration challenges persist in established industries with substantial investments in existing computational infrastructure.

Workforce development represents another critical consideration. The gap between theoretical methodological development and practical implementation reflects insufficient training of engineers in modern mathematical techniques. Universities and professional organizations must adapt curricula to prepare future engineers for careers increasingly centered on data science, machine learning, and computational mathematics. Continued interdisciplinary collaboration between mathematicians, computer scientists, and domain experts will accelerate practical progress.

10. CONCLUSION

Applied mathematics stands at an inflection point where technological capabilities, algorithmic sophistication, and data availability converge to enable previously impossible problem-solving approaches. This comprehensive review synthesized developments across six interconnected domains of modern applied mathematics, demonstrating remarkable convergence toward physics-informed machine learning as a foundational methodology.

Modern optimization and approximation methods, incorporating metaheuristic algorithms and surrogate modeling, enable efficient navigation through complex design spaces. Deep learning approaches specifically designed for solving differential equations—particularly physics-informed neural networks and deep operator networks—overcome historical limitations of numerical methods while maintaining mathematical rigor through embedded physical constraints. Data-driven modeling across diverse engineering domains, from fluid dynamics to materials science, demonstrates unprecedented computational efficiency and accuracy improvements.

Uncertainty quantification methodologies now seamlessly integrate with machine learning, providing reliable measures of prediction confidence essential for decision-making in safety-critical applications. Real-world engineering implementations across transportation, structural mechanics, smart infrastructure, and biomedical domains validate the practical maturity of these approaches.

Looking forward, the field must address outstanding challenges in interpretability, computational scalability, and theoretical convergence guarantees. Physics-informed machine learning represents the most promising research direction, leveraging complementary strengths of data-driven learning and mathematical physics. Future progress depends on sustained investment in theoretical development, practical algorithm implementation, and workforce training to bridge the gap between methodological capability and practical deployment.

The transformation of applied mathematics from a discipline primarily serving traditional numerical analysis toward one increasingly dominated by machine learning and artificial intelligence represents not a replacement but rather an expansion of capabilities. The most powerful approaches moving forward will thoughtfully integrate classical mathematical methods with modern computational techniques, leveraging the strengths of each while compensating for inherent limitations. Engineers and researchers embracing this integrated paradigm will lead innovation in addressing humanity's most pressing technological challenges.

Key findings summary table

Table 2: Key achievements in applied mathematics and their engineering applications, synthesized from recent literature with quantitative performance metrics where available.

Research Domain	Key Achievement	Performance Improvement	Primary Application
Optimization Methods	Metaheuristic algorithms	Fast convergence (< 0.1 s for benchmark problems)	Engineering design optimization
Neural PDE Solvers	Physics-informed architectures	100-10,000x speedup vs FEM	High-dimensional PDEs
Data-Driven CFD	ML-assisted surrogate models	10,000x acceleration	Fluid dynamics simulations
Materials Design	Inverse design with ML	1000x faster than FEM	Metamaterial and polymer design
Uncertainty Quantification	Surrogate-based methods	90% computational reduction	Risk assessment in complex systems
Structural Monitoring	Virtual sensing via ML	Real-time predictions	Infrastructure health monitoring
Materials Science	ML structure-property mapping	Accuracy > 96%	Accelerated materials discovery
Transportation Systems	AI-based control optimization	15% energy reduction	Smart mobility systems

11.REFERENCES

[1] J. L. Amalraj, K. R. Kavitha, L. S. Narsingani, S. Sigatapu, S. Tamboli, and S. Balamuralitharan, "MODERN APPROACHES TO OPTIMIZATION AND APPROXIMATION IN APPLIED MATHEMATICS: DEVELOPING EFFICIENT MATHEMATICAL TOOLS FOR REAL-WORLD ENGINEERING AND SCIENTIFIC CHALLENGES," *International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2025.

[2] S. J. Mohammed, S. Zubaidi, S. Ortega-Martorell, N. Al-Ansari, S. Ethaib, and K. S. Hashim, "Application of hybrid machine learning models and data pre-processing to predict water level of watersheds: Recent trends and future perspective," *Cogent Engineering*, 2022.

[3] M. Qais, H. M. Hasanien, S. Alghuwainem, and K. Loo, "Propagation search algorithm: A physics-based optimizer for engineering applications," *Mathematics*, 2023.

[4] Y. Liu, K. Zheng, X. Liang, and Q. Xin, "A data-driven global load case analysis method for aircraft structural design," *Actuators*, 2025.

[5] N. R. Franco, A. Manzoni, and P. Zunino, "A deep learning approach to reduced order modelling of parameter dependent partial differential equations," *Mathematics of Computation*, 2021.

[6] H. Sharma, L. Nov"ak, and M. D. Shields, "Physics-constrained polynomial chaos expansion for scientific machine learning and uncertainty quantification," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 2024.

[7] J. Choi, N. Kim, and Y. Hong, "Unsupervised legendre-galerkin neural network for stiff partial differential equations," *Social Science Research Network*, 2022.

[8] A. Farea, O. Yli-Harja, and F. Emmert-Streib, "Using physics-informed neural networks for modeling biological and epidemiological dynamical systems," *Mathematics*, 2025.

[9] X. Huo and H. Liu, "Inf-sup neural networks for high-dimensional elliptic PDE problems," *Journal of Computational Physics*, 2024.

[10] S. Wang, H. Wang, and P. Perdikaris, "Improved architectures and training algorithms for deep operator networks," *Journal of Scientific Computing*, 2021.

[11] E. Yaganoglu, H. Tunc, and M. Sari, "A HYBRID TRAINED DEEP LEARNING APPROACH FOR SOLVING PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS," *International Conference on Modern Problems of Mathematics, Mechanics and their Applications*, 2024.

[12] X. Cheng, C. Fan, and Y. Ding, "Data-driven seismic response prediction of concrete bridges based on neural network intelligent mapping model," *Advances in Structural Engineering*, 2026.

[13] D. Li, L. Shen, W. Zha, and S. Lv, "Deep learning-based method for solving seepage equation under unsteady boundary," *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 2023.

[14] A. Aly, "Machine learning reshaping computational fluid dynamics: A paradigm shift in accuracy and speed," *Fluids*, 2025.

[15] D. Liu, D. Wu, J. Xu, Y. Li, M. Z. Gul, and F. Ni, "Machine learning in maglev transportation systems: Review and prospects," *Actuators*, 2025.

[16] P. Koul, "A review of machine learning applications in aviation engineering," *Advances in Mechanical and Materials Engineering*, 2025.

[17] S. Chowdhury *et al.*, "Transforming chemical process engineering: The role of AI and machine learning in revolutionizing process systems," *Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 2025.

[18] E. Cao, Z. Dong, B. Jia, and H. Huang, "Inverse design of isotropic auxetic metamaterials via a data-driven strategy." *Materials Horizons*, 2025.

[19] H. Makki, C. Burke, C. B. Nielsen, and A. Troisi, "Mapping the structure-function landscape of semiconducting polymers," *Materials Horizons*, 2025.

[20] S. Mondal and S. Goswami, "Machine learning applications in automotive engineering: Enhancing vehicle safety and performance," *Journal of Process Management and New Technologies*, 2024.

[21] R. K. Yadu, A. Singh, and D. K. Singh, "A comparative performance analysis of machine learning techniques for optimizing heat transfer between parallel disks," *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, 2025.

[22] S. Pagani and A. Manzoni, "Enabling forward uncertainty quantification and sensitivity analysis in cardiac electrophysiology by reduced order modeling and machine learning," *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Biomedical Engineering*, 2021.

[23] M. Elkarii, R. Boukharfane, and N. E. Moccayd, "High-precision surrogate modeling for uncertainty quantification in complex slurry flows," *Acta Mechanica*, 2024.

[24] S. Kasdorf, J. J. Harmon, and B. Notaro, "Kriging methodology for uncertainty quantification in computational electromagnetics," *IEEE Open Journal of Antennas and Propagation*, 2024.

[25] S. Thaler, G. Doehner, and J. Zavadlav, "Scalable Bayesian uncertainty quantification for neural network potentials: Promise and pitfalls," *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 2022.

[26] Z. Wang, N. Hua, H. Ma, and W. Lu, "MNN-BasisONet: A moment-based operator learning framework for uncertainty quantification," *Physica Scripta*, 2025.

[27] F. Maaboudallah and N. Atalla, "A data-driven uncertainty computational method to model and predict instabilities of a frictional system," *Advanced Modeling and Simulation in Engineering Sciences*, 2023.

[28] D. Zuo, Y. Zhang, D. Wang, Q. Xue, and C. Wang, "A computationally efficient stochastic analysis method for predicting the long-term development of aneurysms." *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering*, 2025.

[29] M. K. Agrawal, S. Kumari, P. Maan, B. Pratap, M. S. Mashkour, and V. Sharma, "Coupled multiphysics simulation using FEA for complex fluid-structure interaction problems," *E3S Web of Conferences*, 2023.

[30] J. Seo, D. Schiavazzi, A. Kahn, and A. Marsden, "The effects of clinically-derived parametric data uncertainty in patientspecific coronary simulations with deformable walls," *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Biomedical Engineering*, 2019.

[31] X. Li, X. Zhang, and Y. Zhang, "Three-dimensional plasticity-based topology optimization with smoothed finite element analysis," *Computational Mechanics*, 2023.

[32] B. F. Silva, L. F. G. de Souza, R. A. A. de Aguiar, and P. M. Pacheco, "Analysis of stress concentration in pseudoelastic plates using digital image correlation (DIC) and finite element method," *Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Solid Mechanics*, 2024.

[33] Y. He *et al.*, "Enhancing intelligent HVAC optimization with graph attention networks and stacking ensemble learning, a recommender system approach in Shenzhen Qianhai Smart Community," *Scientific Reports*, 2025.

[34] M. Tarp, S. Amador, E. Katsanos, M. Skog, J. Gjdvad, and R. Brincker, "VIRTUAL SENSING AND STRAIN ESTIMATION ON AN OFFSHORE WIND TURBINE USING SUPERVISED LEARNING," *8th International Conference on Computational Methods in Structural Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering Methods in Structural Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 2021.

[35] A. Y. Bayahya, H. Banjar, O. Talabay, and S. H. Alamri, "Comparative analysis of multiple deep learning models with mitigation-driven approaches for enhanced alzheimers disease classification," *Scientific Reports*, 2025.

[36] J. Ryu, H. Jo, and H. J. J. Hwang, "Attention with quantum fourier transform for operator learning in dynamical systems," *Physica Scripta*, 2026.
[37] Z. Aldirany, R. Cottereau, M. Laforest, and S. Prudhomme, "Multi-level neural networks for accurate solutions of boundary-value problems," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 2023.
[38] S. Walton, S. Tokareva, and G. Manzini, "The tensor-train stochastic finite volume method for uncertainty quantification," *Journal of Computational Physics*, 2024.
[39] F. Regazzoni, D. Chapelle, and P. Moireau, "Combining data assimilation and machine learning to build data-driven models for unknown long time dynamics: Applications in cardiovascular modeling," *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Biomedical Engineering*, 2021.
[40] N. Lafon, R. Fablet, and P. Naveau, "Uncertainty quantification when learning dynamical models and solvers with variational methods," *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 2023.